



Hospital Transformation Program

Intervention Proposal

I. Background Information

This Intervention Proposal is designed to clearly articulate the scope and goals of proposed transformation interventions aimed at impacting the hospital's selected local quality measures under the HTP. The following questions are meant to assist the state in identifying: the evidence base for each intervention; the need within targeted communities for the implementation of the interventions; and how the interventions will advance the goals of the HTP.

Hospitals will not be required to implement a specified number of interventions. Instead, participation requirements are based on the selection of local quality measures to impact within the five HTP Focus Areas:

- Reducing Avoidable Hospital Utilization
- Core Populations
- Behavioral Health and Substance Use Disorders
- Clinical and Operational Efficiencies
- Community Development Efforts to Address Population Health and Total Cost of Care

Hospitals will be required to address statewide measures for each Focus Area. Hospitals will also be required to select from the [HTP list of local measures](#) across the five Focus Areas based on community needs and the goals of the HTP. Each hospital will be required to work on a set of measures equal to 100 points. The number, mix and points per measure will vary according to hospital size, defined by bed count or specialty type:

- Large hospitals (91+ beds) will be accountable for six statewide measures, totaling 60 points and a minimum of four local measures, which will account for 40 points. Points per local measure will equal 40 divided by the number of local measures selected.
- Medium hospitals (26-90 beds) will be accountable for six statewide measures and a minimum of two local measures. If two local measures are selected, statewide measures will total 75 points, and local measures will account for 25 points. Points per local measure will equal 25 divided by the number of local measures selected. If three local measures are selected, then statewide measures will total 67 points and local measures will account for 33 points. Points per local measure will equal 33 divided by the number of local measures selected. If four or more local measures are selected, then statewide measures will then total 60 points and local measures will account for 40 points. Points per local measure will equal 40 divided by the number of local measures selected for four or more local measures.
- Small hospitals (<26 beds) excluding critical access hospitals will be accountable for six measures (statewide or local) to account for 100 points. Points per each measure will equal 100 divided by the number of measures selected.
- Critical access hospitals will be accountable for six measures (statewide or local) and will have their risk for measures reduced by 40%.
- Pediatric hospitals will be accountable for five statewide measures, totaling 50 points and a minimum of five local measures, which will account for 50 points. Points per local measure will equal 50 divided by the number of local measures selected.



- Respiratory specialty hospital(s) will be accountable for four statewide measures and a minimum of four local measures. If four measures are selected then statewide measures will total 56 points and local measures will account for 44 points. Points per local measure will equal 44 divided by the number of local measures selected. If five or more measures are selected, then statewide measures will total 50 points and local measures will total 50 points. Points per local measure will equal 50 divided by the number of local measures selected.

Hospitals have the option to work on local measures beyond the required minimum. This would spread the local measure risk by reducing the points per local measure.

In addition, hospitals have the option to replace a local measure with a statewide priority. Each statewide priority will be worth 20 points and if selected the points for each remaining local measure will be equal to the remaining total required local measure points divided by the number of local measures, greatly reducing the risk associated with those measures.

Hospitals should consult the Measure Scoring Summary, which can be found on the HTP webpage, for more information about measure selection, requirements and scoring.

Hospitals must then design five-year interventions that will impact their selected quality measures.

Hospitals must demonstrate that their proposed interventions will fulfill the goals of the HTP and are evidence-based. They must also justify the selection of each intervention based on the findings of the Community and Health Neighborhood Engagement process, including the environmental scan and feedback.

Each hospital will need to report its own data and submit its own application, but partnerships between hospitals may occur in some instances.

Hospitals may leverage existing resources for interventions, and existing interventions may be considered insofar as they expand or enhance the Department's noted goals and meet the following criteria:

- The hospital must demonstrate that the existing intervention is being selected because it is the best approach for meeting the needs of the community identified during the Community and Health Neighborhood Engagement process.
- The hospital must demonstrate that the intervention can and will be enhanced to meet HTP goals.

In addition to meeting the above criteria, any hospital proposing existing interventions for participation in the HTP will be expected to propose and implement accelerated milestones in the Implementation Plan for such interventions.

This Intervention Proposal must be completed separately for each of the interventions being proposed for inclusion in the HTP. Hospitals must submit interventions that, together, address all of the statewide quality measures and the local quality measures listed in the hospital's response to Question 6 in the Hospital Application.



II. Overview of Intervention

1. Name of Intervention: Collaborative Discharge Planning & Notification Process
2. Please use the table below to identify which statewide and selected local quality measures (from the hospital's response to Question 6 in the Hospital Application) the hospital will address through this intervention. As a reminder, each of the statewide and selected local quality measures must be identified for at least one intervention. As such, if this is the only intervention addressing a given Focus Area, all statewide quality measures and all selected local quality measures for that Focus Area must be included in this response. This response should align with the intervention-specific list included in the response to Question 7 in the Hospital Application.

Please note, hospitals are also required to complete the Intervention Proposal below for statewide priorities identified in Question 6 of the HTP Hospital Application.

Please use the unique identification code from the Performance Measures List (which is available on the [HTP website](#)) to identify your selected measures. For example, the measure "30 Day All Cause Risk Adjusted Hospital Readmission" should be listed as SW-RAH1.

Response (Please format the response as a numbered list)

1. SW-BH1

3. Please use the space below to describe the intervention and the rationale for its selection. Responses should include:

- A description of the intervention;
- Who will be the target population for the intervention; and
- How the intervention advances the goals of the HTP:
 - ✓ Improve patient outcomes through care redesign and integration of care across settings;
 - ✓ Improve the patient experience in the delivery system by ensuring appropriate care in appropriate settings;
 - ✓ Lower Health First Colorado (Colorado's Medicaid Program) costs through reductions in avoidable hospital utilization and increased effectiveness and efficiency in care delivery;
 - ✓ Accelerate hospitals' organizational, operational, and systems readiness for value-based payment; and
 - ✓ Increase collaboration between hospitals and other providers, particularly Accountable Care Collaborative (ACC) participants, in data sharing and analytics, evidence-based care coordination and care transitions, integrated physical and behavioral care delivery, chronic care management, and community-based population health and disparities reduction efforts.

Response (Please seek to limit the response to 1,000 words or less)

Description:

This is a two-part intervention: 1) collaborative discharge planning and 2) notification.



Part 1) The hospital will meet with Colorado Access (RAE for Regions 3 and 5) on a regular basis and will partner in developing and implementing a collaborative discharge planning process. Upon request, Colorado Access has agreed to send a representative to meet at least monthly to review transitions of care services and available resources for specific, shared members.

Part 2) The hospital will notify the RAEs of consenting patients who discharge from the inpatient setting or the emergency department with a primary or secondary diagnosis of mental illness or substance use disorder (SUD).

The hospital will:

1. Establish overall discharge planning process with RAE and determine triggers for shared member case reviews including transitions of care services and available resources.
2. Establish process for obtaining and recording consent from patient to coordinate and share information with RAE.
3. Develop technology solution to transmit discharge plan of patients with a primary or secondary diagnosis of mental illness or SUD diagnosis who consent to RAE notification.

Target Population:

Consenting Medicaid patients 18 years or older with a primary or secondary diagnosis of mental illness or substance use disorder (SUD) discharged from the hospital or emergency department.

Rationale and how the intervention will advance the goals of HTP:

This intervention supports the HTP goals of improving patient outcomes through integration of care across settings, improving the patient experience by ensuring appropriate care in appropriate settings, and increased collaboration between the hospital and other providers. Through enhanced coordination and communication, it may be possible to reduce readmissions and costs. Timely provision of resources serves as an intervention that the hospital can leverage to decrease substance use, reduce readmissions, and improve outcomes. Notifying the patient's primary Medicaid RAE will afford the opportunity for case managers to coordinate care for Medicaid members.

4. Please use the space below to describe how the intervention and any selected local quality measures to be addressed by the intervention align with community needs identified throughout the Community and Health Neighborhood Engagement process (including data identified in the hospital's CHNE midpoint and final reports), including but not limited to:
 - How the intervention and any selected local quality measures to be addressed by the intervention were selected based on identified community needs, including how they align with identified significant behavioral and physical health needs and / or service capacity resources and gaps, including related to care transitions and social determinants of health;
 - How the population of focus aligns with identified community needs; and
 - How the proposed intervention will leverage available medical and / or social resources and partners.



Response (Please seek to limit the response to 1,500 words or less)

In the CHNE process and community needs assessment, the hospital identified mental health and substance use as an ongoing community issue needing expanded resources. While this intervention does not expand the resources, it provides a structure for the hospital and the RAEs to prioritize care coordination and resource provision for high-risk shared members and ensures the RAEs consistently receive notice of eligible patient discharges.

There is a growing need for substance use treatment and care coordination in Colorado. In 2018, studies showed 21.5% of adults having a mental illness, 8.9% having an alcohol use disorder, and 4.8% having an illicit drug use disorder (Kaiser Family Foundation, 2020). In Colorado, rates of ED visits associated with both cannabis and mental health significantly increased from 2012 to 2014 from 224.5 to 268.4 per 100,000 (Hall et al., 2018).

For individuals with primary substance use disorder, hospitals often rely on community partners to provide ongoing services. The enhanced coordination between the Hospital and the RAEs will help insure access to current services -- Colorado Medicaid members may utilize early intervention, outpatient, and recovery services for substance use services. As of January 2021, Colorado Medicaid beneficiaries have access to inpatient and residential substance use programs. Colorado Health Institute (2018) estimates that these benefits may result in 7,600 fewer ED visits and 1,700 fewer hospitalizations annually.

5. Please identify the evidence base (academic, professional or otherwise) related to this intervention's use among the target population by selecting one of the following options:

- (1) Randomized Control Trial (RCT) level evidence
- (2) Best practice supported by less than RCT evidence
- (3) Emerging practice
- (4) No evidence

If you selected option 1, 2 or 3 above, please use the space below to summarize the evidence base (academic, professional or otherwise) related to this intervention's use among the target population. The response should address the intervention's ability to impact the selected local and statewide quality measures identified in Question 6 in the Hospital Application. Please submit the response in narrative form and provide links to any reference documentation (data, citations, etc.).

If you selected option 4 indicating that there is no known evidence base, please explain why this intervention is being proposed regardless.

Response (Please seek to limit the response to 1,500 words or less)

(2) Best practice - Health Care Policy and Financing in Colorado estimates potentially avoidable costs of SUD at \$63,000,000. For every \$1 invested into SUD treatment there is a \$4 savings in healthcare costs (Estee et al., 2006). Research indicates that clinicians should improve access to outpatient services to decrease unnecessary utilization of emergency department for mental health and substance use issues (Lee et al., 2017).

Citations:

Center for Improving Value in Healthcare. (2020). Colorado's accountable care collaborative. Retrieved from:

<https://www.civhc.org/change-agent-gallery/colorados-accountable-care-collaborative/>

Colorado Health Institute. (2018). Options for residential and inpatient treatment of substance use

disorder. Retrieved from: <https://www.coloradohealthinstitute.org/research/options-residential-and-inpatient-treatment-substance-use-disorder>

Estee, S., He, L., Mancuso, D., Felver, B. (2006). Medicaid cost outcomes. Department of Social and Health Services, Research and Data Analysis Division: Olympia, Washington.

Gentilello LM, Ebel BE, Wickizer TM, Salkever DS, Rivara FP. Alcohol interventions for trauma patients treated in emergency departments and hospitals: a cost benefit analysis. Ann Surg. 2005;241(4):541-50.

Hall, K., Monte, A., Chang, T., Fox, J., Brevik, C., Vigil, D., Van Dyke, M., James, K. (2018) Mental Health-related Emergency Department Visits Associated with Cannabis in Colorado. Acad Emerg Med. 2018 May;25(5):526-537.

Lee, S., Herrin, J., Campbell, R. (2017). Predictors of return visits among insured emergency department mental health and substance abuse patients. Western Journal of Emergency Medicine: 18 (5).

Kaiser Family Foundation. (2020). Mental health in Colorado. Retrieved from:

<https://www.kff.org/statedata/mental-health-and-substance-use-state-fact-sheets/colorado/>

SAMHSA. (2018). Key substance use and mental health indicators in the United States: Results from the 2018 national survey on drug use and health.

6. a. Does the focus of the proposed intervention intersect with ongoing initiatives statewide (including, but not limited to those included in the ACC, State Innovation Model and Comprehensive Primary Care Plus)?

Yes

No

b. If yes, please identify the applicable statewide initiative(s): (you may select more than one response from the list below)

[Behavioral Health Task Force](#)

[Affordability Road Map](#)

[IT Road Map](#)

[HQIP](#)



- [ACC](#)
- [SIM Continuation](#)
- Rx Tool
- [Rural Support Fund](#)
- [SUD Waiver](#)
- [Health Care Workforce](#)
- [Jail Diversion](#)
- Crisis Intervention
- [Primary Care Payment Reform](#)
- Other: ____ (please identify)

Please also use the space below to briefly explain how the hospital will ensure the intervention aligns with the applicable ongoing initiative(s).

Response (Please seek to limit the response to 750 words or less)

Tracking Medicaid members who discharge from the hospital with a primary or secondary diagnosis of mental illness or SUD diagnosis will enhance referrals to treatment. As additional SUD benefits are provided to Medicaid members, hospitals will be able to provide additional resources to patients who are admitted to the emergency department for substance use related issues.

7. Please use the space below to explain any experience the hospital or any affiliated community partners have had with this type of intervention or target population and how that experience will support the success of the intervention.

Response (Please seek to limit the response to 500 words or less)

The hospital and RAE 3 & 5 currently have processes in place to identify patients admitted to the medical floors, and the Behavioral Health & Wellness Center. The manual notification process occurs between the case management team of Colorado Access and TMCA at least weekly via email. Colorado Access requires patients to receive prior authorization before being admitted to inpatient or outpatient psychiatric services. Prior to COVID-19, liaisons from Colorado Access and Community Mental Health were on site weekly to address substance use and mental health needs.

8. a. Is this an existing intervention in use within the hospital (“existing interventions” are those interventions the hospital has implemented or is implementing on the day it submits the Hospital Application)?

- Yes
- No

b. If yes, please use the space below to explain how the following criteria for leveraging existing interventions is satisfied (the response may reference answers above):



- The hospital must demonstrate that the use of the existing intervention is the best approach for meeting the needs of the community identified during the Community and Health Neighborhood Engagement process.
- The hospital must demonstrate that the project will be enhanced to meet HTP goals.

Response (Please respond as applicable; Please seek to limit the response to 1,000 words or less)

The hospital actively screens patients for suicidality, behavioral health, and substance use needs. This intervention creates a shared responsibility to coordinate care on high-risk patients and automates notification.

9. a. Will the intervention be a joint effort with another organization (e.g., a Regional Accountable Entity, Local Public Health Agency, a mental or community health center, another community organization or any other external organization)?

- Yes
 No

Partnerships are not required, but, if the hospital will partner, please complete the remainder of this question and provide the required documentation (see subpart c).

b. If yes, please complete the following chart, including listing the partner organization; listing the type of organization; indicating whether the hospital has previously partnered with the organization; and providing a high-level summary of the expected role of the organization in intervention’s leadership and implementation.

Partner Organization Name	Type of Organization	Does the hospital have any previous experience partnering with this organization? (Yes or No)	Organization’s Role in Intervention Leadership and Implementation (high-level summary)

c. Please also submit documentation of the partnership with each listed organization. Documentation may be provided separately for each organization listed above and could include: a contract; a memorandum of understanding; a business association agreement; a Letter of Partnership from the listed organization(s); or similar documentation. If a Letter of Partnership is provided, in it the organization should: (1) acknowledge that it intends to partner; (2) provide a brief description of the organization; (3) express agreement with the planned intervention; and (4) express agreement with the planned role it will have in leadership and implementation of the intervention as expressed above. The letter should be signed by a member of the organization’s management and submitted with this application in the same .pdf document. The Letter of Partnership Template can be found on the [HTP webpage](#).

